

PARAQUATERNIONIC CR-SUBMANIFOLDS OF PARAQUATERNIONIC KÄHLER MANIFOLDS AND SEMI-RIEMANNIAN SUBMERSIONS

STERE IANUȘ, STEFANO MARCHIAFAVA, GABRIEL EDUARD VÎLCU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds of almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifolds and state some basic results on their differential geometry. We also study a class of semi-Riemannian submersions from paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds of paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15.

Key Words and Phrases: paraquaternionic Kähler manifold, foliation, CR-submanifold, semi-Riemannian submersion.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of CR-submanifold of a Kähler manifold was introduced by Bejancu [6] as a generalization both of totally real and of holomorphic submanifolds of Kähler manifolds. Then many papers appeared studying geometry of CR-submanifolds of Kähler manifolds and this notion was further extended to other ambient spaces; in the monographs [7, 12, 35] we can find the most significant results concerning CR-submanifolds. A class of examples of CR-submanifolds of manifolds endowed with different geometric structures is given in [30].

On the other hand, the paraquaternionic structures, firstly named quaternionic structures of second kind, have been introduced by P. Libermann in [26]. The differential geometry of manifolds endowed with this kind of structures is a very interesting subject and these manifolds have been intensively studied by many authors (see, e.g., [2, 3, 10, 14, 17, 23, 24, 36]). The study of submanifolds of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold is also of interest and several types of such submanifolds we can find in the recent literature: paraquaternionic submanifolds [31], Kähler and para-Kähler submanifolds [2, 27], normal semi-invariant submanifolds [1, 8], lightlike submanifolds [19, 20], F -invariant submanifolds [32]. In this note we define a new class of submanifolds of paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds, which we call paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds, as a natural extension of CR-submanifolds in paraquaternionic setting.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we collect basic definitions, some formulas and results for later use. In Section 3 we introduce the concept of paraquaternionic CR-submanifold and show that on the normal bundle of a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold exist two complementary orthogonal distributions. In Section 4 we investigate the integrability of the distributions involved in the definition of a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold. In Section 5, following the same techniques as in [18], we study the canonical foliation induced on a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold; conditions

on total geodesicity are derived. We also obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold to be a ruled submanifold with respect to the canonical foliation. In Section 6 we define the paraquaternionic CR-submersions (in the sense of Kobayashi [25]) as semi-Riemannian submersions from paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds onto an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold and obtain some properties concerning their geometry. In Section 7 we discuss curvature properties of fibers and base manifold for paraquaternionic CR-submersions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let M be a smooth manifold endowed with an *almost paraquaternionic structure* σ , that is, a rank-3 subbundle σ of $\text{End}(TM)$ which admits a local basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ on a coordinate neighborhood $U \subset M$ such that we have:

$$(1) \quad J_\alpha^2 = -\epsilon_\alpha \text{Id}, \quad J_\alpha J_{\alpha+1} = -J_{\alpha+1} J_\alpha = \epsilon_{\alpha+2} J_{\alpha+2}$$

where $\epsilon_1 = 1$, $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = -1$ and the indices are taken from $\{1, 2, 3\}$ modulo 3. Moreover, the pair (M, σ) is said to be an *almost paraquaternionic manifold* and $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ is called a *canonical local basis* of M .

In an almost paraquaternionic manifold (M, σ) we take intersecting coordinate neighborhoods U and U' . Let $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ and $\{J'_1, J'_2, J'_3\}$ be canonical local bases of σ in U and U' respectively. Then $\{J'_1, J'_2, J'_3\}$ are linear combinations of $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ in $U \cap U'$:

$$(2) \quad J'_\alpha = \sum_{\beta=1}^3 a_{\alpha\beta} J_\beta, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3,$$

where $a_{\alpha\beta}$ are functions in $U \cap U'$, $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$ and $A = (a_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha, \beta=1, 2, 3} \in SO(2, 1)$.

Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold and let σ be an almost paraquaternionic structure on M . The metric g is said to be *adapted* to the almost paraquaternionic structure σ if it satisfies:

$$(3) \quad g(J_\alpha X, J_\alpha Y) = \epsilon_\alpha g(X, Y), \quad \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\},$$

for all vector fields X, Y on M and any local basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ of σ ; or, equivalently, if all endomorphisms of σ are skew-symmetric with respect to g . In this case, (M, σ, g) is said to be an *almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold*. The existence of paraquaternionic hermitian structures on manifolds and tangent bundles has been recently investigated in [21].

It is easy to see that any almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold is of dimension $4m$, $m \geq 1$, and any adapted metric is necessarily of neutral signature $(2m, 2m)$.

Let $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ be a canonical local base of σ in a coordinate neighborhood U of an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold (M, σ, g) . If we denote by:

$$\Omega_{J_\alpha}(X, Y) = g(X, J_\alpha Y), \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3$$

for any vector fields X and Y , then, by means of (2), we see that:

$$\Omega = \Omega_{J_1} \wedge \Omega_{J_1} - \Omega_{J_2} \wedge \Omega_{J_2} - \Omega_{J_3} \wedge \Omega_{J_3}$$

is a globally well-defined 4-form on M , called *the fundamental 4-form* of the manifold.

If (M, σ, g) is an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold such that the bundle σ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\nabla}$ of g , then (M, σ, g) is said to be a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold. Equivalently, locally defined 1-forms $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ exist such that we have for all $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$:

$$(4) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X J_\alpha = -\epsilon_\alpha [\omega_{\alpha+2}(X) J_{\alpha+1} - \omega_{\alpha+1}(X) J_{\alpha+2}]$$

for any vector field X on M , where the indices are taken from $\{1, 2, 3\}$ modulo 3 (see [17]). Moreover, it can be proved that an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold of dimension strictly greater than 4 is paraquaternionic Kähler if and only if $\nabla \Omega = 0$ (see [22]).

We remark that any paraquaternionic Kähler manifold is an Einstein manifold, provided that $\dim M > 4$ (see [10, 17, 23]).

Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold and let N be an immersed submanifold of M . Then N is said to be a non-degenerate submanifold of M if the restriction of the semi-Riemannian metric g to TN is non-degenerate at each point of N . We denote by the same symbol g the semi-Riemannian metric induced by g on N and by $TN^\perp$ the normal bundle to N .

For the rest of this section we will assume that the induced metric on N is non-degenerate.

Then we have the following orthogonal decomposition:

$$TM = TN \oplus TN^\perp.$$

Also, we denote by $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ the Levi-Civita connection on M and N , respectively. Then the Gauss formula is given by:

$$(5) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN)$, where $B : \Gamma(TN) \times \Gamma(TN) \rightarrow \Gamma(TN^\perp)$ is the second fundamental form of N in M .

On the other hand, the Weingarten formula is given by:

$$(6) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + \nabla_X^\perp \xi$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(TN)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(TN^\perp)$, where $-A_\xi X$ is the tangent part of $\bar{\nabla}_X \xi$ and $\nabla_X^\perp \xi$ is the normal part of $\bar{\nabla}_X \xi$; A_ξ and $\nabla^\perp$ are called the shape operator of N with respect to ξ and the normal connection, respectively. Moreover, B and A_ξ are related by:

$$(7) \quad g(B(X, Y), \xi) = g(A_\xi X, Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(TN^\perp)$ (see [29]).

If we denote by $\bar{R}$ and R the curvature tensor fields of $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ we have the Gauss equation:

$$(8) \quad \bar{R}(X, Y, Z, U) = R(X, Y, Z, U) - g(B(X, Z), B(Y, U)) + g(B(Y, Z), B(X, U)),$$

for all $X, Y, Z, U \in \Gamma(TN)$.

3. PARAQUATERNIONIC CR-SUBMANIFOLDS

Definition 3.1. Let N be an n -dimensional non-degenerate submanifold of an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold (M, σ, g) . We say that (N, g) is a *paraquaternionic CR-submanifold* of M if there exists a non-degenerate distribution

$\mathcal{D} : x \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_x \subseteq T_x N$ such that on any $U \cap N$ we have:

i. $\mathcal{D}$ is a paraquaternionic distribution, i.e.

$$(9) \quad J_\alpha \mathcal{D}_x = \mathcal{D}_x, \quad \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

and

ii. $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ is a totally real distribution, i.e.

$$(10) \quad J_\alpha \mathcal{D}_x^\perp \subset T_x^\perp N, \quad \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

for any local basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ of σ on U and $x \in U \cap N$, where $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ is the orthogonal complementary distribution to $\mathcal{D}$ in TN .

Definition 3.2. A non-degenerate submanifold N of an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold (M, σ, g) is called a *paraquaternionic* (respectively, *totally real*) submanifold if $\mathcal{D}^\perp = 0$ (respectively, $\mathcal{D} = 0$). A paraquaternionic CR-submanifold is said to be *proper* if it is neither paraquaternionic nor totally real.

Example 3.3. i. The canonical immersion of $P^n \mathbb{B}(c)$ into $P^m \mathbb{B}(c)$, where $n \leq m$, provides us a very natural example of paraquaternionic submanifold (see [27]).

ii. The real projective space $P_s^n \mathbb{R}(\frac{c}{4})$ is a totally-real submanifold of the paraquaternionic projective space $P^n \mathbb{B}(c)$, where $s \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ denotes the index of the manifold, defined as the dimension of the largest negative definite vector subspace of the tangent space.

iii. Let (M_1, g_1, σ_1) and (M_2, g_2, σ_2) be two paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds. If U_1 and U_2 are open subsets of M_1 and M_2 respectively, on which local basis $\{J_1^{(1)}, J_2^{(1)}, J_3^{(1)}\}$ and $\{J_1^{(2)}, J_2^{(2)}, J_3^{(2)}\}$ for σ_1 and σ_2 respectively, are defined, then the product manifold $U = U_1 \times U_2$ can be endowed with an almost paraquaternionic hermitian non-Kähler structure (g, σ) (see [32]). Now, if N_1 is a paraquaternionic submanifold of U_1 and N_2 is a totally-real submanifold of U_2 , then $N = N_1 \times N_2$ is a proper paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of the almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold (U, g, σ) .

iv. A large class of examples of proper paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds can be constructed using the paraquaternionic momentum map [33] and the technique from [30]. Suppose that a Lie group G acts freely and isometrically on the paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) , preserving the fundamental 4-form Ω of the manifold. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G , by $\mathfrak{g}^*$ its dual and by V the unique Killing vector field corresponding to a vector $V^* \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then there exists a unique section f of bundle $\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \sigma$ such that (see [33])

$$(11) \quad \nabla f_{V^*} = \theta_{V^*},$$

for all $V^* \in \mathfrak{g}$, where the section θ_{V^*} of the bundle $\Omega^1(\sigma)$ with values in σ is well defined globally by

$$\theta_{V^*}(X) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \omega_\alpha(V, X) J_\alpha, \quad \forall X \in TM.$$

Moreover, the group G acts by isometries on the pre-image $f^{-1}(0)$ of the zero-section $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \sigma$. Similarly as in [30], we have the decomposition

$$T_x(f^{-1}(0)) = T_x(G \cdot x) \oplus H_x, \quad \forall x \in M,$$

where $G \cdot x$ represents the orbit of G through x , supposed to be non-degenerate, and H_x is the orthogonal complementary subspace of $T_x(G \cdot x)$ in $T_x(f^{-1}(0))$. Because

H_x is invariant under the action of σ and $T_x(G \cdot x)$ is totally real, we can state now the following result.

Proposition 3.4. *If $f^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) , then $f^{-1}(0)$ is a proper paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of M .*

We remark that, in general, $f^{-1}(0)$ is not a differentiable submanifold of M , but always we can take a subset $N \subset f^{-1}(0)$ which is invariant under the action of G and which is a submanifold of M . A particular example is given in [11], as a paraquaternionic version of the example constructed by Galicki and Lawson in [16]: if p and q are distinct and relatively prime natural numbers, then we have the action of the Lie group $G = \{e^{jt} | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ on $P^2\mathbb{B}$ defined by

$$\phi_{p,q}(t) \cdot [u_0, u_1, u_2] := [e^{jqt}u_0, e^{jpt}u_1, e^{jpt}u_2],$$

where $e^{jt} = \cos ht + j \sin ht$ and $[u_0, u_1, u_2]$ are homogenous coordinates on $P^2\mathbb{B}$. We can see that this action is free, isometric and preserves the para-quaternionic structure on $P^2\mathbb{B}$ and, moreover, we have that the pre-image by the momentum map $f_{p,q} : P^2\mathbb{B} \rightarrow \text{Im}\mathbb{B}$ of the zero-section $0 \in \text{Im}\mathbb{B}$ is (see also [33]):

$$f_{p,q}^{-1}(0) = \{[u_0, u_1, u_2] \in P^2\mathbb{B} | q\bar{u}_0ju_0 + p\bar{u}_1ju_1 + p\bar{u}_2ju_2 = 0\}.$$

Finally, we conclude that the subset N of the regular points of $f_{p,q}^{-1}(0)$, given by

$$N = \{[u_0, u_1, u_2] \in f_{p,q}^{-1}(0) | q^2|u_0|^2 + p^2|u_1|^2 + p^2|u_2|^2 \neq 0\}$$

is a proper paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of $P^2\mathbb{B}$.

Definition 3.5. Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold (M, σ, g) . Then we say that:

- i. N is $\mathcal{D}$ -geodesic if $B(X, Y) = 0$, $\forall X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$;
- ii. N is $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ -geodesic if $B(X, Y) = 0$, $\forall X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$;
- iii. N is mixed geodesic if $B(X, Y) = 0$, $\forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}), Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$;
- iv. N is mixed foliated if N is mixed geodesic and $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable.

We may easily prove the next result (see [4, 31]):

Proposition 3.6. *Any paraquaternionic submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold is a totally geodesic paraquaternionic Kähler submanifold.*

By using this proposition, we deduce the next consequences.

Corollary 3.7. *Let (N, g) be a paraquaternionic submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . Then:*

- i. $\dim N = 4n, n \geq 1$ and the signature of $g|_{TN}$ is $(2n, 2n)$;
- ii. N is an Einstein manifold, provided that $\dim N > 4$.

Corollary 3.8. *The paraquaternionic submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}_{2m}^{4m}$ and of paraquaternionic projective space $P^m\mathbb{B}$ are locally isometric with $\mathbb{R}_{2n}^{4n}$ and $P^n\mathbb{B}$, respectively, where $n \leq m$.*

Next, let (N, g) be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . We put $\nu_{\alpha x} = J_\alpha(D_x^\perp)$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $\nu_x^\perp = \nu_{1x} \oplus \nu_{2x} \oplus \nu_{3x}$, and remark that $\nu_{1x}, \nu_{2x}, \nu_{3x}$ are mutually orthogonal non-degenerate vector subspaces of $T_x N^\perp$, for any $x \in U \cap N$. We also note that the subspaces $\nu_{\alpha x}$ depends on the choice of the local base $(J_\alpha)_\alpha$, while $\nu_x^\perp$ doesn't depend from it.

Proposition 3.9. *Let (N, g) be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . Then we have:*

- i. $J_\alpha(\nu_{\alpha x}) = \mathcal{D}_x^\perp$, $\forall x \in U \cap N$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$;
- ii. $J_\alpha(\nu_{\beta x}) = \nu_{\gamma x}$, for any even permutation (α, β, γ) of $(1, 2, 3)$ and $x \in U \cap N$;
- iii. The mapping $\nu^\perp : x \in N \rightarrow \nu_x^\perp$ defines a non-degenerate distribution of dimension $3s$, where $s = \dim D_x^\perp$;
- iv. $J_\alpha(\nu_x) = \nu_x$, $\forall x \in U \cap N$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, where ν is the complementary orthogonal distribution to $\nu^\perp$ in $TN^\perp$.

Proof. The assertions i. and ii. are obvious from (1). The assertion iii. follows from the Definition 3.1, since J_α , $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, are automorphisms of $T_x N$, for any $x \in U \cap N$. Concerning the proof of (iv.), firstly one can remark that ν_x doesn't depend from the choice of the local base $(J_\alpha)_\alpha$ and secondly, one could observe that the subspace $TN_x^\perp = \mathcal{D}_x \oplus \mathcal{D}_x^\perp \oplus \nu_x^\perp$ is the paraquaternionic subspace generated by TN_x , hence its orthogonal ν is also a paraquaternionic subspace, i.e. closed under J_α , $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

4. INTEGRABILITY OF DISTRIBUTIONS

Theorem 4.1. *The distribution $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ is integrable.*

Proof. For any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ and $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_U V, X) &= g(\overline{\nabla}_U V, X) \\ &= \epsilon_\alpha g(J_\alpha \overline{\nabla}_U V, J_\alpha X) \\ &= \epsilon_\alpha g(\epsilon_\alpha [\omega_{\alpha+2}(U) J_{\alpha+1} V - \omega_{\alpha+1}(U) J_{\alpha+2} V] + \overline{\nabla}_U J_\alpha V, J_\alpha X) \\ &= \epsilon_\alpha g(\overline{\nabla}_U J_\alpha V, J_\alpha X) \end{aligned}$$

and by using (6) we obtain:

$$(12) \quad g(\nabla_U V, X) = -\epsilon_\alpha g(A_{J_\alpha V} U, J_\alpha X).$$

On the other hand, if we take $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ and $C \in \Gamma(TN)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} g(A_{J_\alpha V} U, C) &= -g(\overline{\nabla}_C J_\alpha V, U) \\ &= g(\epsilon_\alpha [\omega_{\alpha+2}(C) J_{\alpha+1} V - \omega_{\alpha+1}(C) J_{\alpha+2} V], U) - g(J_\alpha \overline{\nabla}_C V, U) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$g(A_{J_\alpha V} U, C) = -g(J_\alpha \overline{\nabla}_C V, U).$$

Moreover, from $C \cdot g(J_\alpha U, V) = 0$, one has

$$g(J_\alpha \overline{\nabla}_C U, V) = g(J_\alpha \overline{\nabla}_C V, U).$$

We conclude:

$$(13) \quad g(A_{J_\alpha V} U, C) = g(A_{J_\alpha U} V, C).$$

From (12) and (13) we deduce that for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ and $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ we have:

$$g(\nabla_U V - \nabla_V U, X) = 0,$$

which implies $[U, V] \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$, $\forall U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$. Thus $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ is integrable. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. *The paraquaternionic distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable if and only if N is $\mathcal{D}$ -geodesic.*

Proof. Similarly as in above theorem, we obtain:

$$g(\nabla_X Y, U) = \epsilon_\alpha g(\overline{\nabla}_X J_\alpha Y, J_\alpha U)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ and $U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$, and taking into account (5) we obtain:

$$(14) \quad g(\nabla_X Y, U) = \epsilon_\alpha g(B(X, J_\alpha Y), J_\alpha U).$$

If we suppose that N is $\mathcal{D}$ -geodesic, from (14) we derive $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, which implies $[X, Y] \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$. Thus $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable.

Conversely, if we suppose that $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable, then the leaves are invariant of the paraquaternionic structure and so they are totally geodesic in N . In particular, N is $\mathcal{D}$ -geodesic. $\square$

Remark 4.3. If $\mathcal{Q}$ is a non-degenerate distribution on a semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) , then we can consider a well-defined $\mathcal{Q}^\perp$ -valued vector field on N , called the mean curvature vector of $\mathcal{Q}$ (see [9]), given by:

$$H^\mathcal{Q} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q \theta_i h^\mathcal{Q}(E_i, E_i),$$

where $h^\mathcal{Q}$ is the second fundamental forms of $\mathcal{Q}$, $q = \dim \mathcal{Q}$, $\{E_1, \dots, E_q\}$ is a pseudo-orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\theta_i = g(E_i, E_i) \in \{-1, 1\}$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$.

The distribution $\mathcal{Q}$ is said to be *minimal* if the mean curvature vector $H^\mathcal{Q}$ of $\mathcal{Q}$ vanishes identically.

Theorem 4.4. *The paraquaternionic distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is minimal.*

Proof. For any $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $U \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ we obtain similarly as in above theorems:

$$(15) \quad g(\nabla_X X, U) = \epsilon_\alpha g(A_{J_\alpha U} J_\alpha X, X)$$

and

$$(16) \quad g(\nabla_{J_\alpha X} J_\alpha X, U) = -g(A_{J_\alpha U} X, J_\alpha X) = -g(A_{J_\alpha U} J_\alpha X, X),$$

for all $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

From (15) and (16) we deduce:

$$g(\nabla_X X + \epsilon_\alpha \nabla_{J_\alpha X} J_\alpha X, U) = 0$$

and so

$$(17) \quad h^\mathcal{D}(X, X) + \epsilon_\alpha h^\mathcal{D}(J_\alpha X, J_\alpha X) = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

From (17) it follows that

$$h^\mathcal{D}(X, X) = -h^\mathcal{D}(J_1 X, J_1 X) = -h^\mathcal{D}(J_2 J_3 X, J_2 J_3 X) = -h^\mathcal{D}(J_3 X, J_3 X) = -h^\mathcal{D}(X, X)$$

and so

$$(18) \quad h^\mathcal{D}(X, X) = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(D).$$

We obtain $H^\mathcal{D} = 0$ and the assertion follows. We can remark that the relation (18) not imply $h^\mathcal{D} = 0$, since $h^\mathcal{D}$ is not symmetric in general, unless $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable. $\square$

Remark 4.5. For any paraquaternionic CR-submanifold (N, g) of an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold (M^{4m}, σ, g) , having $\dim \mathcal{D} = 4r$ and $\dim \mathcal{D}^\perp = p$, we can choose a local pseudo-orthonormal frame in M :

$$\{e_1, \dots, e_r, e_{r+1}, \dots, e_{r+p}, e_{r+p+1}, \dots, e_m, J_1 e_1, \dots, J_1 e_m, J_2 e_1, \dots, J_2 e_m, J_3 e_1, \dots, J_3 e_m\}$$

such that restricted to N , $\{e_i, J_1 e_i, J_2 e_i, J_3 e_i\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ are in $\mathcal{D}$ and $\{e_{r+1}, \dots, e_{r+p}\}$ are in $\mathcal{D}^\perp$.

Let $\{\omega^1, \dots, \omega^{4r}\}$ be the $4r$ 1-forms on N satisfying:

$$(19) \quad \omega^i(Z) = 0, \quad \omega_i(E_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, 4r\},$$

for any $Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$, where $E_j = e_j$, $E_{r+j} = J_1 e_j$, $E_{2r+j} = J_2 e_j$ and $E_{3r+j} = J_3 e_j$, $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Then $\omega = \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^{4r}$ does not depend on the particular base $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ and defines a $4r$ -form on N . Therefore, we have

$$(20) \quad d\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{4r} (-1)^i \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\omega^i \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^{4r}$$

and taking account of (19), we deduce that $d\omega = 0$ if and only if

$$(21) \quad d\omega(Z_1, Z_2, X_1, \dots, X_{4r-1}) = 0$$

and

$$(22) \quad d\omega(Z_1, X_1, \dots, X_{4r}) = 0$$

for any $Z_1, Z_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ and $X_1, \dots, X_{4r} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$. We remark now easily that (21) holds if and only if $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ is integrable and (22) holds if and only if $\mathcal{D}$ is minimal (see also [13]). On another hand, if $\{\omega^{4r+1}, \dots, \omega^{4r+p}\}$ is the dual frame to the pseudo-orthonormal frame $\{e_{r+1}, \dots, e_{r+p}\}$ of $\mathcal{D}^\perp$, we can define a p -form $\omega^\perp$ on M by $\omega^\perp = \omega^{4r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^{4r+p}$. Similarly we find that $\omega^\perp$ is closed if $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable and $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ is minimal.

Consequently, from Theorems 4.1 and 4.4, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.6. *Let N be a closed paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . Then the $4r$ -form ω is closed and defines a canonical de Rham cohomology class $[\omega]$ in $H^{4r}(M, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, this cohomology class is non-trivial if $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable and $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ is minimal.*

5. CANONICAL FOLIATIONS ON PARAQUATERNIONIC CR-SUBMANIFOLDS

Since the totally real distribution $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ of a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold N of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) is always integrable we conclude that we have a foliation $\mathfrak{F}^\perp$ on N with structural distribution $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ and transversal distribution $\mathcal{D}$ (see [9]). We say that $\mathfrak{F}^\perp$ is the canonical totally real foliation on N .

Theorem 5.1. *Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . The next assertions are equivalent:*

- i. $\mathfrak{F}^\perp$ is totally geodesic;
- ii. $B(X, Y) \in \Gamma(\nu)$, $\forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, $Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$;
- iii. $A_N X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$, $\forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$, $N \in \Gamma(\nu^\perp)$;
- iv. $A_N Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, $\forall Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, $N \in \Gamma(\nu^\perp)$.

Proof. By using (3), (4), (5) and (6) we obtain for any $X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ and $Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$:

$$\begin{aligned} g(J_\alpha(\nabla_X Z), Y) &= -g(\overline{\nabla}_X Z, J_\alpha Y) \\ &= g(\epsilon_\alpha[\omega_{\alpha+2}(X)J_{\alpha+1}Z - \omega_{\alpha+1}(X)J_{\alpha+2}Z] + \overline{\nabla}_X J_\alpha Z, Y) \\ &= g(-A_{J_\alpha Z}X + \nabla_X^\perp J_\alpha Z, Y) \\ &= -g(A_{J_\alpha Z}X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

and taking into account (7) we derive:

$$(23) \quad g(J_\alpha(\nabla_X Z), Y) = -g(B(X, Y), J_\alpha Z).$$

i. $\Rightarrow$ ii. If $\mathfrak{F}^\perp$ is totally geodesic, then $\nabla_X Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$, for $X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ and from (23) we derive:

$$g(B(X, Y), J_\alpha Z) = 0$$

and the implication is clear.

ii. $\Rightarrow$ i. If we suppose $B(X, Y) \in \Gamma(\mu)$, $\forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, $Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$, then from (23) we derive:

$$g(J_\alpha(\nabla_X Z), Y) = 0$$

and we conclude $\nabla_X Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$. Thus $\mathfrak{F}^\perp$ is totally geodesic.

ii. $\Leftrightarrow$ iii. This equivalence is clear from (7).

iii. $\Leftrightarrow$ iv. This equivalence is true because A_N is a self-adjoint operator. $\square$

Corollary 5.2. *If N is a mixed-geodesic paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) , then the canonical totally real foliation $\mathfrak{F}^\perp$ on N is totally geodesic.*

Corollary 5.3. *Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) with $\nu = 0$. Then the canonical totally real foliation $\mathfrak{F}^\perp$ on N is totally geodesic if and only if N is mixed geodesic.*

We note that the condition $\nu = 0$ in Corollary 5.3 characterizes the following interesting class of paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds (compare with the definition in the complex case given in [35], pag. 78).

Definition 5.4. A paraquaternionic CR-submanifold N of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) is called *generic* if the restriction $TM|_N$ to N of the tangent bundle of ambient manifold M is generated over the paraquaternions by the tangent bundle of N , i.e. if $TN + \sigma TN = TM|_N$ or, equivalently, if $\nu = 0$.

Remark 5.5. The proper paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds given by Proposition 3.4 are generic because we have

$$T_x^\perp(f^{-1}(0)) = \sigma T_x(G \cdot x).$$

Proposition 5.6. *Let N be a generic paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . Then the paraquaternionic distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is geodesic.*

Proof. N generic means that

$$TM|_N = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}^\perp \oplus \sigma \mathcal{D}^\perp$$

where

$$\nu^\perp = \sigma \mathcal{D}^\perp, \quad TN = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}^\perp.$$

From (3) and (14) we have

$$g(J_\alpha \nabla_X Y, J_\alpha U) = \epsilon_\alpha g(\nabla_X Y, U) = g(B(X, J_\alpha Y), J_\alpha U),$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, $U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ and since $TN^\perp = \nu^\perp$,

$$(J_\alpha \nabla_X Y)^\perp = B(X, J_\alpha Y)$$

where $W^\perp$ means the component of the vector $W \in TN$ in the orthogonal to TN , that is in $\nu^\perp$.

More explicitly, we have

$$(J_\alpha \nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}} Y + J_\alpha \nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}^\perp} Y)^\perp = B(X, J_\alpha Y)$$

where $\nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}} Y, \nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}^\perp} Y$ are the $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\perp$ components of $\nabla_X Y$ respectively.

Equivalently, since $J_\alpha \nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}} Y \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$J_\alpha \nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}^\perp} Y = B(X, J_\alpha Y)$$

that is

$$(24) \quad \nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}^\perp} Y = -\epsilon_\alpha J_\alpha B(X, J_\alpha Y)$$

As a consequence, we have

$$\epsilon_\alpha J_\alpha B(X, J_\alpha Y) = \epsilon_\beta J_\beta B(X, J_\beta Y), \quad \forall \alpha, \beta$$

and, for $J_\alpha J_\beta = \epsilon_\gamma J_\gamma$,

$$B(X, J_\alpha Y) = -\epsilon_\beta \epsilon_\gamma J_\gamma B(X, J_\beta Y).$$

Hence

$$(25) \quad B(X, Y) = -\epsilon_\alpha \epsilon_\beta J_\gamma B(X, J_\gamma Y)$$

and also

$$(26) \quad B(X, J_\gamma Y) = J_\gamma B(X, Y)$$

since $\epsilon_\gamma \epsilon_\alpha \epsilon_\beta = 1$.

Note that from (26) and symmetry of B it follows

$$B(X, J_\gamma Y) = B(Y, J_\gamma X)$$

from which we deduce:

$$(27) \quad B(X, Y) = -\epsilon_\gamma B(J_\gamma X, J_\gamma Y).$$

By applying repeatedly the (27) we find

$$B(X, Y) = -B(J_1 X, J_1 Y) = -B(J_2 J_3 X, J_2 J_3 Y) = -B(J_3 X, J_3 Y) = -B(X, Y)$$

hence,

$$B = 0.$$

□

Definition 5.7. [9] A submanifold N of a semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be a *ruled submanifold* if it admits a foliation whose leaves are totally geodesic submanifolds immersed in (M, g) .

Definition 5.8. A paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold which is a ruled submanifold with respect to the foliation $\mathfrak{F}^\perp$ is called *totally real ruled paraquaternionic CR-submanifold*.

Theorem 5.9. *Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . Then the following assertions are mutually equivalent:*

- i. N is a totally real ruled paraquaternionic CR-submanifold.*
- ii. N is $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ -geodesic and:*

$$B(X, Y) \in \Gamma(\nu), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}), \quad Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp).$$

- iii. The subbundle $\nu^\perp$ is $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ -parallel, i.e:*

$$\nabla_X^\perp J_\alpha Z \in \Gamma(\nu^\perp), \quad \forall X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp), \quad \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

and the second fundamental form satisfies:

$$B(X, Y) \in \Gamma(\nu), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp), \quad Y \in \Gamma(TN).$$

- iv. The shape operator satisfies:*

$$A_{J_\alpha Z} X = 0, \quad \forall X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp), \quad \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

and

$$A_N X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp), \quad N \in \Gamma(\nu).$$

Proof. i. $\Leftrightarrow$ ii. This equivalence follows from Theorem 5.1 since for any $X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\nabla}_X Z &= \nabla_X Z + B(X, Z) \\ &= \nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}^\perp} Z + h^\perp(X, Z) + B(X, Z) \end{aligned}$$

and thus the leaves of $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ are totally geodesic immersed in M if and only if $h^\perp = 0$ and N is $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ -geodesic.

- i. $\Leftrightarrow$ iii. If $X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ and $N \in \Gamma(\nu)$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\overline{\nabla}_X Z, N) &= \epsilon_\alpha g(J_\alpha \overline{\nabla}_X Z, J_\alpha N) \\ &= \epsilon_\alpha g(\epsilon_\alpha [\omega_{\alpha+2}(X) J_{\alpha+1} Z - \omega_{\alpha+1}(X) J_{\alpha+2} Z] + \overline{\nabla}_X J_\alpha Z, J_\alpha N) \\ &= \epsilon_\alpha g(-A_{J_\alpha Z} X + \nabla_X^\perp J_\alpha Z, J_\alpha N) \end{aligned}$$

and thus we obtain:

$$(28) \quad g(\overline{\nabla}_X Z, N) = \epsilon_\alpha g(\nabla_X^\perp J_\alpha Z, J_\alpha N).$$

Similarly we find:

$$(29) \quad g(\overline{\nabla}_X Z, U) = -\epsilon_\alpha g(B(X, J_\alpha U), J_\alpha Z), \quad \forall X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp), \quad U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}).$$

On the other hand, from (5) we deduce for any $X, Z, W \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$:

$$(30) \quad g(\overline{\nabla}_X Z, J_\alpha W) = g(B(X, Z), J_\alpha W).$$

But M is a totally real ruled paraquaternionic CR-submanifold iff $\overline{\nabla}_X Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$, $\forall X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ and by using (28), (29) and (30) we deduce the equivalence.

- ii. $\Leftrightarrow$ iv. This equivalence follows from (7). $\square$

Corollary 5.10. *Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . If N is totally geodesic, then N is a totally real ruled paraquaternionic CR-submanifold.*

6. SEMI-RIEMANNIAN SUBMERSIONS FROM PARAQUATERNIONIC CR-SUBMANIFOLDS

Semi-Riemannian submersions were introduced by O'Neill [29]. Let (M, g) and (M', g') be two connected semi-Riemannian manifolds of index s ($0 \leq s \leq \dim M$) and s' ($0 \leq s' \leq \dim M'$) respectively, with $s' \leq s$. Roughly speaking, a semi-Riemannian submersion is a smooth map $\pi : M \rightarrow M'$ which is onto and satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\pi_{*|p} : T_p M \rightarrow T_{\pi(p)} M'$ is onto for all $p \in M$;
- (ii) The fibres $\pi^{-1}(p')$, $p' \in M'$, are semi-Riemannian submanifolds of M ;
- (iii) π_* preserves scalar products of vectors normal to fibres.

The vectors tangent to fibres are called vertical and those normal to fibres are called horizontal. We denote by $\mathcal{V}$ the vertical distribution, by $\mathcal{H}$ the horizontal distribution and by v and h the vertical and horizontal projection. An horizontal vector field X on M is said to be basic if X is π -related to a vector field X' on M' . It is clear that every vector field X' on M' has a unique horizontal lift X to M and X is basic.

Remark 6.1. If $\pi : (M, g) \rightarrow (M', g')$ is a semi-Riemannian submersion and X, Y are basic vector fields on M , π -related to X' and Y' on M' , then we have the next properties (see [28]):

- (i) $g(X, Y) = g'(X', Y') \circ \pi$;
- (ii) $h[X, Y]$ is a basic vector field and $\pi_* h[X, Y] = [X', Y'] \circ \pi$;
- (iii) $h(\nabla_X Y)$ is a basic vector field π -related to $\nabla'_{X'} Y'$, where ∇ and ∇' are the Levi-Civita connections on M and M' ;
- (iv) $[E, U] \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$, $\forall U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ and $\forall E \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Remark 6.2. A semi-Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \rightarrow M'$ determines, as well as in the Riemannian case (see [15]), two (1,2) tensor field T and A on M , by the formulas:

$$(31) \quad T(E, F) = h\nabla_{vE} vF + v\nabla_{vE} hF$$

and respectively:

$$(32) \quad A(E, F) = v\nabla_{hE} hF + h\nabla_{hE} vF$$

for any $E, F \in \Gamma(TM)$. We remark that for $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$, $T(U, V)$ coincides with the second fundamental form of the immersion of the fibre submanifolds and for $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, $A(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}v[X, Y]$ characterizes the complete integrability of the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$.

It is easy to see that T and A satisfy:

$$(33) \quad T(U, V) = T(V, U),$$

$$(34) \quad A(X, Y) = -A(Y, X)$$

$$(35) \quad \nabla_X Y = h\nabla_X Y + A(X, Y),$$

$$(36) \quad \nabla_U V = v\nabla_U V + T(U, V),$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$.

Remark 6.3. In [25], S. Kobayashi observed the next similarity between a Riemannian submersion and a CR-submanifold of a Kähler manifold: both involve two distributions (the vertical and horizontal distribution), one of them being integrable. Then he introduced the concept of CR-submersion, as a Riemannian submersion from a CR-submanifold to an almost hermitian manifold. Next, we'll consider CR-submersions from paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold.

Definition 6.4. Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost hermitian manifold. A semi-Riemannian submersion $\pi : N \rightarrow M'$ is said to be a *paraquaternionic CR-submersion* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- i. $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{D}^\perp$;
- ii. For each $p \in N$, $\pi_* : \mathcal{D}_p \rightarrow T_{\pi(p)}M'$ is an isometry with respect to each complex and product structure of $\mathcal{D}_p$ and $T_{\pi(p)}M'$, where $T_{\pi(p)}M'$ denotes the tangent space to M' at $\pi(p)$.

Proposition 6.5. *Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold. If $\pi : N \rightarrow M'$ is a paraquaternionic CR-submersion, then*

$$(37) \quad h\nabla_X J_\alpha Y = J_\alpha h\nabla_X Y - \epsilon_\alpha [\omega_{\alpha+2}(X)J_{\alpha+1}Y - \omega_{\alpha+1}(X)J_{\alpha+2}Y]$$

$$(38) \quad A(X, J_\alpha Y) = J_\alpha \bar{v}B(X, Y)$$

$$(39) \quad \bar{v}B(X, J_\alpha Y) = J_\alpha A(X, Y)$$

$$(40) \quad \bar{h}B(X, J_\alpha Y) = J_\alpha \bar{h}B(X, Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on N and $\bar{h}, \bar{v}$ denote the canonical projections on ν and $\nu^\perp$, respectively.

Proof. From Gauss equations and (35), we have

$$(41) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = h\nabla_X Y + A(X, Y) + \bar{h}B(X, Y) + \bar{v}B(X, Y),$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on M .

From (41) we obtain:

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X J_\alpha)Y &= h\nabla_X J_\alpha Y + A(X, J_\alpha Y) + \bar{h}B(X, J_\alpha Y) + \bar{v}B(X, J_\alpha Y) \\ &\quad - J_\alpha h\nabla_X Y - J_\alpha A(X, Y) - J_\alpha \bar{h}B(X, Y) - J_\alpha \bar{v}B(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

On another hand, from (4) we have:

$$(43) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X J_\alpha)Y = -\epsilon_\alpha [\omega_{\alpha+2}(X)J_{\alpha+1}Y - \omega_{\alpha+1}(X)J_{\alpha+2}Y] \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}),$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$.

Comparing now (42) and (43) and identifying the components from $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$, $\Gamma(\nu)$ and $\Gamma(\nu^\perp)$, we obtain the wanted identities. $\square$

Proposition 6.6. *Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold. If $\pi : N \rightarrow M'$ is a paraquaternionic CR-submersion, then*

$$(44) \quad \bar{v}\nabla_U^\perp J_\alpha V = J_\alpha v\nabla_U V - \epsilon_\alpha [\omega_{\alpha+2}(U)J_{\alpha+1}V - \omega_{\alpha+1}(U)J_{\alpha+2}V]$$

$$(45) \quad J_\alpha T(U, V) = -hA_{J_\alpha V}U$$

$$(46) \quad J_\alpha \bar{v}B(U, V) = -vA_{J_\alpha V}U$$

$$(47) \quad \bar{h}\nabla_U^\perp J_\alpha V = J_\alpha \bar{h}B(U, V)$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on N and $\nabla^\perp$ is the normal connection.

Proof. From Gauss equations and (36), we have:

$$(48) \quad \bar{\nabla}_U V = T(U, V) + v\nabla_U V + \bar{h}B(U, V) + \bar{v}B(U, V),$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on M .

On the other hand, from Weingarten formula, we have:

$$(49) \quad \bar{\nabla}_U J_\alpha V = -hA_{J_\alpha V}U - vA_{J_\alpha V}U + \bar{h}\nabla_U^\perp J_\alpha V + \bar{v}\nabla_U^\perp J_\alpha V,$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$.

From (48) and (49) we deduce:

$$(50) \quad \begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_U J_\alpha)V &= -hA_{J_\alpha V}U - vA_{J_\alpha V}U + \bar{h}\nabla_U^\perp J_\alpha V + \bar{v}\nabla_U^\perp J_\alpha V \\ &\quad - J_\alpha T(U, V) - J_\alpha v\nabla_U V - J_\alpha \bar{h}B(U, V) - J_\alpha \bar{v}B(U, V), \end{aligned}$$

On another hand, from (4) we have:

$$(51) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_U J_\alpha)V = -\epsilon_\alpha[\omega_{\alpha+2}(U)J_{\alpha+1}V - \omega_{\alpha+1}(U)J_{\alpha+2}V] \in \Gamma(\mu^\perp),$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$.

Comparing now (50) and (51) and identifying the components from $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$, $\Gamma(\nu)$ and $\Gamma(\nu^\perp)$, we obtain the wanted identities. $\square$

Theorem 6.7. *Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold. If $\pi : N \rightarrow M'$ is a paraquaternionic CR-submersion, then (M', σ', g') is a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold.*

Proof. We have from (37):

$$(52) \quad h\nabla_X J_\alpha Y - J_\alpha h\nabla_X Y = -\epsilon_\alpha[\omega_{\alpha+2}(X)J_{\alpha+1}Y - \omega_{\alpha+1}(X)J_{\alpha+2}Y]$$

for any local basic vector fields X, Y on N .

We define the local 1-forms ω'_α on M' by:

$$(53) \quad \omega'_\alpha(X') \circ \pi = \omega_\alpha(X)$$

for any local vector field X' on M' , π -related with an horizontal vector field X on N .

On the other hand, from the definition of a paraquaternionic CR-submersion, we deduce that for any local bases $\{J'_1, J'_2, J'_3\}$ of σ' we have a corresponding local basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ of σ such that:

$$(54) \quad \pi_* \circ J_\alpha = J'_\alpha \circ \pi_*, \quad \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Using (52), (53), (54) and Remark 6.1 we obtain:

$$(55) \quad (\nabla'_X J'_\alpha)Y = -\epsilon_\alpha[\omega'_{\alpha+2}(X')J'_{\alpha+1}Y' - \omega'_{\alpha+1}(X')J'_{\alpha+2}Y']$$

for any local vector fields X', Y' on M' , π -related with two local basic vector fields X, Y on N , where ∇' is the Levi-Civita connection on M' . Hence (M', σ', g') is a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold. $\square$

Theorem 6.8. *Let N be a mixed foliated paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold. If $\pi : N \rightarrow M'$ is a paraquaternionic CR-submersion, then N is locally a semi-Riemannian product of a paraquaternionic submanifold and a totally real submanifold of M . In particular, if N is complete and simply connected then it is a global semi-Riemannian product.*

Proof. Because N is a mixed foliated paraquaternionic CR-submanifold, in particular N is a mixed geodesic submanifold, and from (23) we obtain that the distribution $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ is parallel. On another hand, $\mathcal{D}$ being integrable, we have $A(X, Y) = v\nabla_X Y = 0$, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ and therefore the distribution $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ is also parallel. Hence N is locally a semi-Riemannian product $(N_1, g_1) \times (N_2, g_2)$, where N_1 and N_2 are leaves of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^\perp$.

Finally, if N is complete and simply connected, applying the decomposition theorem for semi-Riemannian manifolds (see [34]) we obtain the last part of the theorem. $\square$

Example 6.9. We remarked in Section 3 that

$$N = \{[u_0, u_1, u_2] \in f_{p,q}^{-1}(0) | q^2|u_0|^2 + p^2|u_1|^2 + p^2|u_2|^2 \neq 0\}$$

is a proper paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of $P^2\mathbb{B}$. Moreover, the Lie group $G = \{e^{jt} | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ acts freely and isometrically on N . Using now the paraquaternionic Kähler reduction (see Theorem 5.2 from [33]) we obtain that the manifold $M' = N/G$ equipped with the submersed metric (i.e the one g' which makes the projection $\pi : (N, g) \rightarrow (M', g')$ a semi-Riemannian submersion) is again a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold with respect to the structure σ' induced on M' from the structure σ by the projection π . Moreover, $\pi : N \rightarrow N/G$ is a paraquaternionic CR-submersion.

7. SOME CURVATURE PROPERTIES OF PARAQUATERNIONIC CR-SUBMERSIONS

Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold. The sectional curvature K of a 2-plane in $T_p M$, $p \in M$, spanned by $\{X, Y\}$, is defined by:

$$(56) \quad K(X \wedge Y) = \frac{R(X, Y, X, Y)}{g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2}.$$

It is clear that the above definition makes sense only for non-degenerate planes, i.e. those satisfying $Q(X \wedge Y) = g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2 \neq 0$.

If $\pi : (N, g) \rightarrow (M', g')$ is a semi-Riemannian submersion, then the tensor fields A and T defined in the above Section play a fundamental role in expressing the curvatures of the (N, g) , (M', g') and of any fibre $(\pi^{-1}(p'), \hat{g}_{p'})$, $p' \in M'$, because we have the following formulas stated in [28]:

$$(57) \quad \begin{aligned} R(U, V, W, W') &= \hat{R}(U, V, W, W') - g(T(U, W), T(V, W')) \\ &\quad + g(T(V, W), T(U, W')), \end{aligned}$$

$$(58) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y, Z, Z') &= R^*(X, Y, Z, Z') - 2g(A(X, Y), A(Z, Z')) \\ &\quad + g(A(Y, Z), A(X, Z')) - g(A(X, Z), A(Y, Z')), \end{aligned}$$

for any $U, V, W, W' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ and $X, Y, Z, Z' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, where R is the Riemannian curvature of (N, g) , $\hat{R}$ is the Riemannian curvature of fibre and $R^*(X, Y, Z, Z') = g(R^*(Z, Z')Y, X)$, $R^*(\cdot, \cdot)$ being the (1,3)-tensor field on $\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ with values in $\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$

which associates to any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and $p \in N$, the horizontal lift $(R^*(X, Y)Z)_p$ of $R'_{\pi(p)}(\pi_{*p}(X_p), \pi_{*p}(Y_p))\pi_{*p}(Z_p)$, R' denoting the Riemannian curvature of (M', g') .

Theorem 7.1. *Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold. If $\pi : N \rightarrow M'$ is a paraquaternionic CR-submersion, then the sectional curvatures of M and the fibres are related by:*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{K}(U \wedge V) = \hat{K}(U \wedge V) & - \epsilon_\alpha \theta_U \theta_V [g(A_{J_\alpha U} U, A_{J_\alpha V} V) - g(A_{J_\alpha V} U, A_{J_\alpha U} V)] \\ (59) \quad & - \epsilon_\alpha \theta_U \theta_V [g(\overline{h} \nabla_U^\perp J_\alpha U, \overline{h} \nabla_V^\perp J_\alpha V) - g(\overline{h} \nabla_V^\perp J_\alpha U, \overline{h} \nabla_U^\perp J_\alpha V)] \end{aligned}$$

for any unit space-like or time-like orthogonally vector fields $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ and $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, where $\theta_U = g(U, U) \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\theta_V = g(V, V) \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Proof. From (57) and Gauss equation we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R}(U, V, W, W') &= \hat{R}(U, V, W, W') - g(T(U, W), T(V, W')) + g(T(V, W), T(U, W')) \\ (60) \quad &- g(B(U, W), B(V, W')) + g(B(V, W), B(U, W')), \end{aligned}$$

for any $U, V, W, W' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$.

From (56) we see that the sectional curvatures of M and the fibres for a 2-plane spanned by two unit space-like or time-like orthogonally vector fields U, V are:

$$(61) \quad \overline{K}(U \wedge V) = \theta_U \theta_V \overline{R}(U, V, U, V)$$

and, respectively,

$$(62) \quad \hat{K}(U \wedge V) = \theta_U \theta_V \hat{R}(U, V, U, V),$$

where $\theta_U = g(U, U) \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\theta_V = g(V, V) \in \{-1, 1\}$.

From (60), (61) and (62), using (33), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{K}(U \wedge V) &= \hat{K}(U \wedge V) - \theta_U \theta_V [g(T(U, U), T(V, V)) - g(T(U, V), T(U, V)) \\ (63) \quad &+ g(B(U, U), B(V, V)) - g(B(U, V), B(U, V))] \end{aligned}$$

for any unit space-like or time-like orthogonally vector fields $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$.

Finally, using (45), (46) and (47) in (63), we obtain (59). $\square$

Theorem 7.2. *Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold. If $\pi : N \rightarrow M'$ is a paraquaternionic CR-submersion, then for any unit space-like or time-like horizontal vector field X one has:*

$$(64) \quad \overline{H}_\alpha(X) = H'_\alpha(\pi_* X) - 4g(\overline{v}B(X, X), \overline{v}B(X, X)) + 2g(\overline{h}B(X, X), \overline{h}B(X, X)),$$

for $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, where $\overline{H}_\alpha$ and H'_α are the holomorphic sectional curvatures of M and M' , defined by $\overline{H}_\alpha(X) = \overline{K}(X \wedge J_\alpha X)$ and $H'_\alpha(X) = K'(X \wedge J_\alpha X)$, respectively.

Proof. From (58) and Gauss equation we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R}(X, Y, Z, Z') &= R^*(X, Y, Z, Z') - 2g(A(X, Y), A(Z, Z')) \\ &+ g(A(Y, Z), A(X, Z')) - g(A(X, Z), A(Y, Z')) \\ (65) \quad &+ g(B(Y, Z), B(X, Z')) - g(B(Y, Z'), B(X, Z)), \end{aligned}$$

for any $X, Y, Z, Z' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$.

From (3) and (56) we see that the holomorphic sectional curvature of M is:

$$(66) \quad \overline{H}_\alpha(X) = \epsilon_\alpha \overline{R}(X, J_\alpha X, X, J_\alpha X)$$

for any unit space-like or time-like vector field $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$.

On the other hand we can easily see that:

$$(67) \quad H'_\alpha(\pi_* X) = \epsilon_\alpha R^*(X, J_\alpha X, X, J_\alpha X)$$

for any unit space-like or time-like vector field $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$.

From (65), (66) and (67), using (34), we obtain:

$$(68) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{H}_\alpha(X) &= H'_\alpha(\pi_* X) - 3\epsilon_\alpha g(A(X, J_\alpha X), A(X, J_\alpha X)) \\ &+ \epsilon_\alpha [g(B(X, J_\alpha X), B(X, J_\alpha X)) - g(B(X, X), B(J_\alpha X, J_\alpha X))]. \end{aligned}$$

From (34) and (39) one has:

$$0 = A(X, X) = -\epsilon_\alpha J_\alpha \overline{v} B(X, J_\alpha X)$$

and so we derive:

$$(69) \quad \overline{v} B(X, J_\alpha X) = 0.$$

Similarly, from (34), (38) and (39) we derive:

$$(70) \quad \overline{v} B(J_\alpha X, J_\alpha X) = \epsilon_\alpha \overline{v} B(X, X).$$

and from (40), using the symmetry of B , we obtain:

$$(71) \quad \overline{h} B(J_\alpha X, J_\alpha X) = -\epsilon_\alpha \overline{h} B(X, X).$$

Finally, using (69), (70) and (71) in (68) we obtain (64). $\square$

Corollary 7.3. *Let N be a totally geodesic paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold. If $\pi : N \rightarrow M'$ is a paraquaternionic CR-submersion one has:*

$$(72) \quad \overline{H}_\alpha(X) = H'_\alpha(\pi_* X),$$

for any unit space-like or time-like horizontal vector field X .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to express our sincere thanks to Professor Liviu Ornea for valuable discussions concerning this subject. S. Ianuș and G.E. Vilcu are supported by a CNCSIS PN2-IDEI grant, no. 525/2009. S. Marchiafava activity was done under the programs of G.N.S.A.G.A. of C.N.R.-Indam and "Riemannian metrics and differentiable structures" of MIUR (Italy).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Al-Aqeel, A. Bejancu, *On normal semi-invariant submanifolds of paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds*, Toyama Math. J. 30 (2007), 63-75.
- [2] D. Alekseevsky, V. Cortés, *The twistor spaces of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold*, Osaka J. Math., 45(1) (2008), 215-251.
- [3] D. Alekseevsky, Y. Kamishima, *Quaternionic and para-quaternionic CR structure on $(4n+3)$ -dimensional manifolds*, Cent. Eur. J. Math., 2(5) (2004), 732-753.
- [4] D.V. Alekseevsky, S. Marchiafava, *Almost complex submanifolds of a quaternionic Kähler manifold*, Steps in Differential Geometry, Inst. Math. Inf. Debrecen 2001, 23-38.
- [5] M. Barros, B.-Y. Chen and F. Urbano, *Quaternion CR-submanifolds of quaternion manifolds*, Kodai Math. J., 4 (1981), 399-417.
- [6] A. Bejancu, *CR submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold I*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 69 (1978), 135-142.
- [7] A. Bejancu, *Geometry of CR-submanifolds*, Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series) 23, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1986.

- [8] A. Bejancu, *Normal semi-invariant submanifolds of paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds*, Kuwait J. Sci. Eng., 33(2) 2006, 33-46.
- [9] A. Bejancu and H. R. Farran, *Foliations and geometric structures*, Mathematics and Its Applications, Springer, 2006.
- [10] N. Blažić, *Para-quaternionic projective spaces and pseudo Riemannian geometry*, Publ. Inst. Math, 60 (1996), 101-107.
- [11] N. Blažić, S. Vukmirović, *Solutions of Yang-Mills equations on generalized Hopf bundles*, J. Geom. Phys. 41(1-2) (2002), 57-64.
- [12] B.-Y. Chen, *Geometry of submanifolds and its applications*, Science University of Tokyo, Tokyo, 1981.
- [13] B.-Y. Chen, *Cohomology of CR-submanifolds*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse 3, 167-172 (1981).
- [14] A.S. Dancer, H.R. Jørgensen, A.F. Swann, *Metric geometries over the split quaternions*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino, 63(2) (2005), 119-139.
- [15] M. Falcitelli, S. Ianuș, A.M. Pastore, *Riemannian submersions and related topics*, River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, 2004.
- [16] K. Galicki, B. Lawson, *Quaternionic reduction and quaternionic orbifolds*, Math. Ann. 282(1) (1988), 1-21.
- [17] E. Garcia-Rio, Y. Matsushita, R. Vazquez-Lorenzo, *Paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds*, Rocky Mt. J. Math., 31 (2001), 237-260.
- [18] S. Ianuș, A.M. Ionescu, G.E. Vilcu, *Foliations on quaternion CR-submanifolds*, Houston J. Math., 34(3) (2008), 739-751.
- [19] S. Ianuș, R. Mazzocco, G.E. Vilcu, *Real lightlike hypersurfaces of paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds*, Mediterr. J. Math., 3 (2006), 581-592.
- [20] S. Ianuș, G.E. Vilcu, *Hypersurfaces of paraquaternionic space forms*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 2(3) (2008), 175-179.
- [21] S. Ianuș, G.E. Vilcu, *Some constructions of almost para-hyperhermitian structures on manifolds and tangent bundles*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys., 5(6) (2008), 893-903.
- [22] A.M. Ionescu, G.E. Vilcu, *A note on paraquaternionic manifolds*, Missouri J. Math. Sci. 19(3) (2007), 213-218.
- [23] S. Ivanov, S. Zamkovoy, *Para-hermitian and para-quaternionic manifolds*, Differ. Geom. Appl., 23 (2005), 205-234.
- [24] S. Ivanov, I. Minchev, S. Zamkovoy, *Twistor and Reflector Spaces of Almost Para-Quaternionic Manifolds*, to appear in *Handbook of pseudo-Riemannian Geometry and Supersymmetry*, IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics.
- [25] S. Kobayashi, *Submersions of CR submanifolds*, Tôhoku Math. J. II. Ser. 39 (1987), 95-100.
- [26] P. Libermann, *Sur le problème d'équivalence de certaines structures infinitésimales*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 36 (1954), 27-120.
- [27] S. Marchiafava, *Submanifolds of (para)-quaternionic Kähler manifolds*, Note Mat., 28 (2008), suppl. no. 1, 295-316.
- [28] B. O'Neill, *Fundamental equations of submersions*, Michigan Math. J. 39 (1966), 459-464.
- [29] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian geometry with applications to relativity*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1983.
- [30] L. Ornea, *CR-submanifolds. A class of examples*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. 51(1) (2006), 77-85.
- [31] M. Vaccaro, *Kaehler and para-Kaehler submanifolds of a para-quaternionic Kaehler manifold*, ph. d. thesis, Università degli Studi di Roma II "Tor Vergata", 2007.
- [32] G.E. Vilcu, *Submanifolds of an Almost Paraquaternionic Kähler Product Manifold*, Int. Math. Forum 2(15) (2007), 735-746.
- [33] S. Vukmirović, *Paraquaternionic reduction*, math.DG/0304424.
- [34] H. Wu, *On the de Rham decomposition theorem*, Illinois J. Math. 8 (1964), 291-311.
- [35] K. Yano, M. Kon, *CR submanifolds of Kählerian and Sasakian manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics 30, Birkhäuser, 1983.
- [36] S. Zamkovoy, *Geometry of paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds with torsion*, J. Geom. Phys., 57(1) (2006), 69-87.

Stere Ianuş

*University of Bucharest, Department of Mathematics,
Str. Academiei, Nr. 14, Bucharest 70109, Romania
e-mail: ianus@gta.math.unibuc.ro*

Stefano Marchiafava

*Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Dipartimento di Matematica,
P.le Aldo Moro N. 2, 00185 Rome, Italy
e-mail: marchiafava@mat.uniroma1.it*

Gabriel Eduard Vîlcu^{1,2}

¹*University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Research Center in Geometry, Topology and Algebra,
Str. Academiei, Nr. 14, Sector 1, Bucharest 70109, Romania*

²*Petroleum-Gas University of Ploieşti,
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Bulevardul Bucureşti, Nr. 39, Ploieşti 100680, Romania
e-mail: gvilcu@mail.upg-ploiesti.ro*